# Aggression Replacement Training®

Fidelity Tools Workbook

#### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF FIDELITY TOOLS**

The success of any Aggression Replacement Training<sup>®</sup> project lies within the level of adherence to fidelity protocols. Fidelity protocols were initially developed by Arnold P. Goldstein (1994) and then further developed by Amendola & Oliver (2002, 2019). The fidelity tools have two purposes:

- 1. For the facilitator, to assure that they have completed all of the fidelity tasks within any of the component sessions. One tool should be completed jointly by both facilitators to evaluate whether or not they completed the task and to complete the self-evaluation portion of the tool. The facilitator should assure that all sections of the tool are fully filled out which is important information for the observer.
- 2. Master and agency trainers utilize the tools for supervision and ongoing fidelity management. The tools must be submitted to the assigned observer prior to any coaching call or session to allow the observer to fully review the tool.

The observer is evaluating adherence to fidelity protocols and quality of the session to include engagement, pacing and content knowledge.

#### **Scoring**

When the observer is conducting direct observation, they can score each fidelity task up to 3.0. Due to the nature of each fidelity step, there are multiple tasks that need to be completed to fully meet the adherence protocol. The observer can score a particular step based on the quality of delivery. For example, if a facilitator does not go in the correct order of feedback but conducts all the parts, an observer might award 2.5 points for that step.

# **SKILLSTREAMING FIDELITY FORM (Observation Scoring)**

## **INSTRUCTIONS** = Pages 1 & 2 filled out by Trainer/Co-Trainer following group

(20% of sessions to be observed by a trained facilitator who should complete the scoring matrix when filling out for fidelity)

Facility	Observer	Title
Date	Trainer	Title
Time Session Began	Co- Trainer	Title
Time Session Ended	Number of Youth Attendin	g
Scoring Matrix: (3) Exceeds S	Standard · (2) Meets Standard · (1) Impro	ovement Needed · (0) Did Not Do
Skillstreaming Skill:		<u>Score</u>
1. Reviewed homework? <i>Comments:</i>	YesNo	
2. Were group norms reviewe <i>Comments:</i>	d? YesNo	
3. What visual aids were used	d? poster of the skill of the week skill cards for groups other visual aid flip chart	
4. Was the skill introduced, r Yes No Comments:	ationale question asked, steps read and	explained?
5. Was the skill modeled by T <i>Comments:</i>	Frainer/Co-trainer? Yes No	
6. Were all the steps for performance  YesNo  Comments:	orming the skill identified during model	ing?
7. Were the modeling demon (i.e., adolescent situations)  Comments:	strations relevant to the youth? ) Yes No	
	n of actual talk versus self-talk and was ? Yes No	
	each young person's <i>need</i> for the skill? "with whom, when and where" asked? <i>Comments:</i>	

10. Did each youth role-play the skill o	of the session as the Main Actor?
Yes No Comments:	
11. Did each youth provide performance YesNo Comments:	feedback to role-play of the other youth?
12. Was order of performance feedback g Co-actor, Trainees, Trainers, Main Ac Comments:	given to role-playing youth appropriate? ctor (preferred order) YesNo
13. Were homework assignments given to "Can you perform this skill this week?" <i>Comments:</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14. Was behavior management (inapproducing the session? YesNo issues, how were they handled? <i>Comments:</i>	
Rating Scale: 39 - 42 Exceeds Expected St 28 - 38 Meets Expected Stan < 28 Improvement Needed	dard
ns for Post group debriefing between Ob	oserver and Group Trainer and Co-trainer:
15. Trainer's self-evaluation of sessions <i>Comments:</i>	and ideas for improvement:
16. Co-trainer's self-evaluation of session <i>Comments:</i>	on and ideas for improvement:
17. Observer's feedback and recommend <i>Comments:</i>	dations:
<b>Is remediation needed?</b> Yes No_ If yes, complete Professional Developme	ent Plan. Attach Plan to this scoring sheet.
Facilitator Signature	Date
Observer's Signature	Date

# **Professional Development Plan**

	Observation Date	Facility	
	Facilitator	Observer	
1.	Description of problem areas: (Content Kr Engagement, Behavior Management):	nowledge Delivery, Adherence to Fidelity Protocols,	
2.	Detailed description of how remediation w provided (i.e. how often coaching will occ	vill occur, by whom, and how oversight will be ur, co-facilitation with coach, etc.)	
3.	Dates of follow-up observations and de-b	riefing of observations:	
	Facilitator's Signature	Date	
	Observer's Signature	Date	

# **ANGER CONTROL FIDELITY FORM (Observation Scoring)**

#### **INSTRUCTIONS** = Pages 1 & 2 filled out by Trainer/Co-Trainer following group

(20% of sessions to be observed by a trained facilitator who should complete the scoring matrix when filling out for fidelity)

Facility	Observer	Title
Date	Trainer	Title
Time Session Began	Co- Trainer	Title
Time Session Ended	Number of Youth Attending	
Scoring Matrix: (3) Exceeds Sta	andard · (2) Meets Standard · (1) Improvei	ment Needed · (0) Did Not Do
Anger Control Week:		Score
1. Reviewed homework? Yes Comments:	No	
2. Were group norms reviewed <i>Comments:</i>	1? Yes No	
3. What visual aids were used	? poster of the skill of the week skill cards for groups other visual aid	
4. Was the sequence step intro YesNo Comm	• •	
5. Was sequence modeled by 7 Comments:	Frainer/Co-trainer? Yes No	
6. Were all the steps for perfo	rming the sequence identified during modernments:	deling?
7. Were the modeling demon Yes No Con	strations relevant to the youth (i.e., adolenments:	escent situations)?
	n of actual talk versus self-talk and was	there movement involved?

	<u>Score</u>
9. Did the Trainer establish each young person's <i>need</i> to YesNo <i>Comments:</i>	for the skill?
10. Did each youth role-play the sequence of the sessi YesNo <i>Comments:</i>	ion as the Main Actor?
11. Did each youth provide performance feedback to role YesNo <i>Comments:</i>	e-play of the other youth?
12. Was order of performance feedback given to role-pla Co-actor, Trainees, Trainers, Main Actor (preferred ord Yes NoComments:	
13. Were homework assignments given to each youth? YesNo <i>Comments:</i>	
14. Was behavior management (inappropriate youth be No Comments:	ehavior) an issue during the session? Yes
If there were behavior management issues, how were the Comments:	y handled?
Rating Scale: 39 -42 Exceeds Expected Standard 28-38 Meets Expected Standard < 28 Improvement Needed	<b>TOTAL SCORE</b> out of 42 =

15. Trainer's self-evaluation of sessions and ideas for improvement: <i>Comments:</i>			
16. Co-trainer's self-evaluation of session and ideas for improvement: <i>Comments:</i>			
17. Observer's feedback and recommendations:  Comments:			
<b>Is remediation needed?</b> YesNo If yes, complete Professional Development Plan. Attach Plan to	this scoring sheet.		
Facilitator Signature:	Date:		
Observar's Signature	Dote		

Items for Post group debriefing between Observer and Group Trainer and Co-trainer:

# **Professional Development Plan**

Observation Date:	Facility:	
Facilitator:	Observer:	
Description of prob Engagement, Behave		Delivery, Adherence to Fidelity Protocols,
	w remediation will occur, by who	
3. Dates of follow-up observ	rations and de-briefing of observat	ions:
Observer's Signature:	I	Date
Facilitator's Signature:	I	Date

## Hassle Log I

Name			Date	:	<u></u>
Morning		Afternoon	Ever	ning	
Where were you? Classroom Dorm Gym Recreation room		Bathroom Team Office Dining room Outside/on gro	ounds	Off g Halls On a Other	job
What happened? Somebody teased is Somebody took so Somebody told me Somebody was do: I did something with Somebody started Other:	mething of mine. to do something. ing something I di rong.				
Who was that son Another resident Another adult		 elor	Teacher		
What did you do? Hit back Ran away Yelled Cried Was restrained Used Skillstreamir Talked it out			Told Peer Ignored it Used Anger Contro Broke Something Told aide or counse Walked away calm Other	elor ly	
How did you hand	2	3 Okay	4 Good	Gr	5 eat
How angry were	<b>you?</b> Really	Moderately	Mildly angr	y but	Not angry
Burning	angry	angry	still okay		at all

# **Hassle Log II**

Jame	Date
. Where were you?  . What was your <b>External Trigger</b> nad, example – name calling, pushe	? (something that happened outside of your body that might make you ed, etc)
3. What was your <b>Internal Trigger</b> also picking on me, etc)	r? (negative thoughts that might make you mad, example – everybody is
4. What were your <b>Cues?</b> (things t – fast heart rate, clenched fists, etc	that happen inside your body to let you know that you are angry, example)
	Somewhat Burning Mad
Counting Backwards Deep Br	reathing If-Then Thinking Pleasant Imagery  ? (positive thinking/instructions that helps calm you down, example –
8. What were the positive and/or	negative Consequences of your behavior?
9. Which <b>skill</b> from Skillstreaming	ng group were you able to use during this situation?
Identifying Triggers Identifyi Using a Reminder	Coaching yourself Rewarding yourself for a good job tive consequences of your behavior
- fast heart rate, clenched fists, etc  5. How angry were you?  Not at all 1 2 3 4  6. What Anger Reducer did you Counting Backwards Deep Backwards Deep Backwards, Relax, Roll with the punches, It's  8. What were the positive and/or  9. Which skill from Skillstreaming.  10. Self-Evaluation Self-Rewarding: Which steps did Identifying Triggers Identifying Using a Reminder Looking at the positive and negative and negative self-residuation.	Somewhat Burning Mad 4 5 6 7 8 9 10  use? reathing If-Then Thinking Pleasant Imagery ? (positive thinking/instructions that helps calm you down, example — their problem not mine, etc)  regative Consequences of your behavior?  In group were you able to use during this situation?  In group were you able to use during this situation?  In group Were you able to use during this situation?  In group Were you able to use during this situation?  In group Were you able to use during this situation?

# **MORAL REASONING FIDELITY FORM (Observation Scoring)**

## **INSTRUCTIONS** = Pages 1 & 2 filled out by Trainer/Co-Trainer following group

(20% of sessions to be observed by a trained facilitator who should complete the scoring matrix when filling out for fidelity)

Facility	Observer	Title	<del></del>
Date	Trainer	Title	
Time Session Began	Co- Trainer	Title	
Time Session Ended	_ Number of Youth	Attending	
Scoring Matrix: (3) Exceeds S	Standard · (2) Meets Standard	(1) Improvement Needed	· (0) Did Not Do
Problem Situation:			
In the various phases, did I ask que Phase 1: Introduce the Problem Situ			<u>Score</u>
1. Remind the group of the ground	rules for discussion? Yes	No	
2. Was the chart filled out prior to	the group session? YesNo	<u></u>	
3. Make sure the group understood just what the problem is"? "Why	` `	C I	
4. Relate the problem situation to the like this happen? Who has been in YesNo	• •		
Phase 2: Cultivate Mature Mora	lity		
5. Establish mature morality as the too chalkboard mature reasons for YesNo			
6. Utilize Ask Don't Tell and solicit If there are no mature responses t a mature response would be to the Phase 3: Remediate Moral Deve	o the question, then the facilitate at specific question.		
i nase 3. Remediate Moral Deve	Topinental Delay		
7. Use more mature group members hedonistic or pragmatic argumen utilize <b>Benign Confrontation?</b>	ts of some group members,	) to challenge the	

	<u>Score</u>
8. Create role-taking opportunities in other ways as well (e.g., "Whise if everybody did that? How would you feel if you were?") You want to be a support of the control of the contr	
Phase 4: Consolidate Mature Morality	
9. Make positive decisions and mature reasons unanimous for the strong objections if I circle that decision as the group decision a the group's number one reason?")? Yes No	
10. Praise the group for its positive decisions and mature reasons (pleased that the group is able to make so many good, strong de up with good, strong reasons." "Would the group like to tape the YesNo	cisions and back them
Rating Scale: 28 - 30 Exceeds Expected Standard 20 - 27 Meets Expected Standard < 20 Improvement Needed	TOTAL SCORE out of 30 =
Items for Post-group debriefing between Observer and Grou  11. Trainer's self-evaluation of sessions and ideas for improvem  Comments:	•
<ul><li>12. Co-trainer's self-evaluation of session and ideas for improve <i>Comments:</i></li></ul>	ement:
13. Observer's feedback and recommendations: <i>Comments:</i>	
Is remediation needed?YesNo If yes, complete Professional Development Plan. Attach Plan to	this scoring sheet.
Facilitator Signature	Date
Observer's Signature	Date

# **Professional Development Plan**

	Observation Date:	Facility:	
	Facilitator:	Observer:	
2.	Description of problem a Engagement, Behavior M	areas: (Content Knowledge Delivery, Adherence to Management):	Fidelity Protocols,
2.		how remediation will occur, by whom, and how ove coaching will occur, co-facilitation with coach, etc.	
3.	Dates of follow-up obse	ervations and de-briefing of observations:	
	Observer's Signature:	Date	
	Facilitator's Signature	Date	

#### AGGRESSION REPLACEMENT TRAINING®

#### SKILL TRANSFER FORM

	Name	Starr_			
	Site	Date _			
1.	What was the skill of the week for Skillstreaming?				
2.	Were you able to role play as the main actor? Yes_				
3.	Did you provide feedback to all peers for all steps?	Yes	No		
4.	Did you have an opportunity to use the skill? Yes_		_ No		
	If yes, with Whom?:				
	When?:				
	Where?:				
5.	Where?: What sequence from Anger Control did you work of				
5.		on this w	eek? Cir	cle one:	
	What sequence from Anger Control did you work of	on this w	eek? <b>Cir</b> g Ahead	cle one:  (d) Self-l	
6.	What sequence from Anger Control did you work of the control of th	on this w	eek? <b>Cir</b> g Ahead No	cle one:  (d) Self-l	
6. 7.	What sequence from Anger Control did you work of the control of th	Thinkin	veek? Cir g Ahead No No	(d) Self-l	
6. 7.	What sequence from Anger Control did you work of (a) Triggers/Cues/Reducers (b) Reminders (c) Were you able to role play as the Main Actor? Yes Did you provide feedback to all peers for all steps?	Thinkin	veek? Cir g Ahead No No	(d) Self-l	
6. 7.	What sequence from Anger Control did you work of (a) Triggers/Cues/Reducers (b) Reminders (c) Were you able to role play as the Main Actor? Yes Did you provide feedback to all peers for all steps? Did you experience any external triggers this week	Thinkin Yes	veek? Cir g Ahead No No No _	(d) Self-l	
6. 7.	What sequence from Anger Control did you work of (a) Triggers/Cues/Reducers (b) Reminders (c) Were you able to role play as the Main Actor? Yes, Did you provide feedback to all peers for all steps? Did you experience any external triggers this week If you did, what were they?	Thinking Yes	veek? Cir g Ahead No No No _	(d) Self-l	Evaluation