GROUP LEADER COMPETENCE ASSESSMENT Blues Program

Date of Ra	ting:/ Rate	r;
Vide	eotape (1) <u>X</u> Audi	otape (2) Live Observation (3)
Date of Ses	ssion:/	Leaders:
Cohort (gro	oup):	Session #:
-		st rating for protocol adherence using the appropriate Session s for general competence using this form.
Co	omplete appropriate Session Ad	herence Checklist.
1. Leaders	express ideas clearly and at an	appropriate pace SCORE =
100	-	Leaders are unusually articulate and express ideas in way that all group members understand. Perfect pace.
90	F 11 - (/A)	
80	Excellent/Above average	Ideas are expressed in very clear manner. Pace follows needs of group members.
70		group memoers:
60	Good/Average	Ideas are expressed in a clear manner and at a pace which is easy to follow.
50		
40	Fair/Below Average	Ideas are expressed in clear manner <u>or</u> pace is appropriate but not both.
30		
20	Poor	Leaders are difficult to follow and session proceeds at an uncomfortable pace.
10	Notes	
	Notes:	
2. <u>Leaders</u>	are organized	SCORE =
100 90	Superior	Session runs seamlessly.
80 70	Excellent/Above average	Leaders appear very organized and well-prepared.
60 50	Good/Average	Leaders appear organized and well-prepared in session.
40 30	Fair/Below Average	Leaders appear marginally organized or prepared in session.
20 10	Poor	Leaders appear disorganized or ill-prepared in session.
- 0	Notes:	

3.	3. <u>Leaders keep group members on task during session</u> SCORE =			
	100	Superior	_	skillful at keeping group on topic, expertly upts by different members to go off-topic.
	90			
	80	Excellent/Above average	Leaders very skillfully discussion.	keep members on task. No off-topic
	70			
	60	Good/Average	-	on task, tactfully limiting discussions off ninute of off-topic discussion.
	50			
	40	Fair/Below Average		low discussion to stray from the task at l problem; 1-20 minutes of off-topic
	30			
	20	Poor	Leaders frequently allo and off-topic discussion	w discussion to stray from the task at hand, n is a major problem.
	10			
		Notes:		
4.		tempt to provide approximate	• • •	
	100	Superior	Leaders do an unusuall and/or very quiet group	y skillful job of handling very outspoken members.
	90			
	80	Excellent/Above average	Leaders do an excellent all.	t job of promoting equal speaking time for
	70			
	60	Good/Average	Leaders tactfully promo all group members.	ote <u>approximately</u> equal speaking time for
	50			
	40	Fair/Below Average	<u> </u>	draw out quiet individuals or avoid p by a few outspoken members <u>but</u> not both.
	30			
	20	Poor	Leaders allow dominate members and fail to dra	ion of the group by a few outspoken aw out quiet members.
	10	NT 4		
		Notes:		
5	Leaders so	olicit feedback		SCORE =
٥.	100	Superior		y good job of soliciting feedback from <u>all</u> are that material is clearly understood by all.
	90		C	, ,
	80	Excellent/Above average		adept at eliciting and responding to verbal throughout the session.
	70			
	60	Good/Average		from all group members and ask enough at members understand the material.
	50		•	

	40 30	Fair/Below Average	Leaders elicit feedback from some members but do not ask enough questions to be sure that all members understand the material.
	20	Poor	Leaders do not ask for feedback to determine member's understanding of, and response to, the session.
	10	Notes:	
6 I o	adere lie	sten and understand	SCORE =
0. <u>LC</u>	100	Superior	Leaders are extremely perceptive and emphatic. Unusually good listening skills.
	90	F 11/A1	
	80	Excellent/Above average	Leaders seem to clearly understand the members and are adept at communicating this understanding through appropriate verbal and nonverbal responses. Excellent listening and empathic skills
	70		
	60	Good/Average	Good listening skills, as indicated by ability to respond to subtle communications.
	50		
	40	Fair/Below Average	Leaders are usually able to reflect or rephrase what the members explicitly said but failed to respond to more subtle communication. Limited ability to listen and empathize.
	30		•
	20	Poor	Leaders repeatedly failed to understand what the members explicitly said and thus consistently missed the point. Poor empathic skills
	10	Notes:	
			agon.
7. <u>Le</u>		ommunicate acceptance and re	•
	100	Superior	Leaders convey an unusually high level of genuine acceptance and respect of each and every group member.
	90	T	
	80	Excellent/Above average	Leaders clearly and consistently communicate acceptance and respect to all group members (acceptance should not be confused with approval of the person's behavior).
	70		
	60	Good/Average	Leaders communicate acceptance and respect to the group.
	50		
	40	Fair/Below Average	Leaders are inconsistent in communicating acceptance and respect.
	30		
	20	Poor	Leaders fail to communicate acceptance and respect and may be perceived as judgmental, harsh, disrespectful, or condescending.
	10		
		Notes:	

8. Leaders at	re enthusiastic	SCORE =
100	Superior	Leaders do an unusually good job of being genuinely enthusiastic about the course. They are infectious in their enthusiasm.
90 80	Excellent/Above average	Leaders convey a very enthusiastic attitude about course and likelihood of improvement.
70 60	Good/Average	Leaders convey a positive attitude about course and likelihood of improvement.
50 40	Fair/Below Average	Leaders either (a) convey neither lively, positive attitude nor a tired, angry, or negative attitude, <u>or</u> (b) leaders vary from a lively, positive attitude to a tired, angry, or negative attitude.
30 20	Poor	Leaders appear tired, angry, and/or lethargic, or convey a negative attitude about course and likelihood of improvement.
10	Notes:	
9. <u>Leaders and 100</u>	re warm Superior	SCORE = Leaders convey a high degree of genuine warmth and interest in all group members.
90 80 70	Excellent/Above average	Leaders convey warmth and interest in all group members.
60 50	Good/Average	Leaders convey warmth and interest in group as a whole.
40 30	Fair/Below Average	Neutral. Leaders are neither warm nor cold.
20 10	Poor	Leaders appear detached and aloof, uninterested in group members.
	Notes:	
100	skillfully handled any special page Superior	Leaders were extremely skillful at handling several unusual problems.
90 80 70	Excellent/Above average	Leaders were very skillful at handling a special problem/situation.
60 50	Good/Average	Leaders were moderately skillful at handling a special problem.
40 30	Fair/Below Average	Leaders' response to a problem was minimally adequate.
20	Poor	Leaders could not deal adequately with special problems that arose during session.
10 NA	Not Applicable	No special problems arose during the session.
<u>If pro</u>	blems arose, please explain: _	

11. Overall tone of the session		SCORE =
100	Superior	Tone of session is perfect engaged, fun, focused, and productive.
90		
80	Excellent/Above average	Tone of session is very positive.
70	Cood/Assesses	Tono of aggion is gonerally "ym"
60 50	Good/Average	Tone of session is generally "up."
40	Fair/Below Average	Tone of session is neutral or varies considerably
30	Tun/Boto Willyorago	Tone of session is neutral of varies constactably
20	Poor	Tone of session is generally "down."
10		
	Notes:	
12 Overall Dating of Thomasist Commeters		ce SCORE =
12. Overall Rating of Therapist Competence 100 Superior, one of the best!		<u>xe</u>
90	Superior, one of the best:	
80	Excellent/Above average	
70		
60	Good/Average	
50	_	
40	Fair/Below Average	
30		
20	Poor	
10		