"This was a great experience. It felt like the focus was on helping kids and not being under fire. Great information sharing. Thanks."

"This provided an exciting opportunity to share our program and gather knowledge on how to enhance our practices and better the lives of our juveniles and their families"

"I felt it was very thorough and insightful."

"As a provider, it was beneficial to have a team validate the program, analyze our data and show us what we are doing well and what we can improve on."

"Very thorough with explaining SPEP and walking us through the process"

SPEP in PA: A Year in Review

August 15, 2014

Jeff Gregro, Berks County Juvenile Probation Heather Perry, EPISCenter







Bennett Pierce Prevention Research Center FOR THE PROMOTION OF HUMAN DEVELOPMENT





The EPISCenter represents a collaborative partnership between the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency (PCCD), and the Prevention Research Center, College of Health and Human Development, Penn State University. The EPISCenter is funded by PCCD and the Department of Public Welfare. This resource was developed by the EPISCenter through PCCD grant VP-ST-24368.

Overview

- Overall Approach
- Lessons Learned
- Emerging Themes
- Process for Performance Improvement
- Questions & Answers



Overall Approach

Overall Approach

Building a Learning Community
Communication Strategies
Pilot process
Resource Development



Building a Learning Community





Continuous Quality Improvement











Pilot & Evaluation Processes

What we're finding



Copyright 2005 digital-topo-maps.com

Pilot & Evaluation Processes

What answers or resources are needed?



Pilot & Evaluation Processes

What's working?



Copyright 2005 digital-topo-maps.com

Kickoff Meetings



SPEP Interviews



SPEP Webinars



Standardized for Se

Primary and Supplement [Identified according to definitio

Primary Service Type for Prop

Group 1 services (5 points)

Group 2 services (10 points)

Group 3 services (15 points) Supplemental Service Type

Qualifying supplemental ser

Quality of Service Deliver [Determined from a systematic a

SPEP Pre-Visit Checklist For Providers

In preparation for your upcoming SPEP interview, we recommend gathering or preparing the following materials for discussion and review.

Service Type

- Service Identification: Within the program, are there services or "tracks" that juveniles with specific needs, a certain diagnosis and/or risk are grouped together for treatment purposes? Often this is referred to as "unpacking" or determining what services are components of the program.
- Daily Schedule: Please have available a sample schedule of daily activities for each track or service.
- Description: A brief description of each service or program component identified.
- Manual/Guide/Service Protocol: If the service delivered has a written protocol or manual or "how to" guide, please have that available during the interview.
- Staff: A staff member(s) who delivers the service through direct interaction with youth should be present to provide information on the service, delivery and other questions that may arise during the interview.

Service Quality

- Staff Training: The type and amount of training received by staff that deliver the service. Documentation of training is helpful.
- Staff Credentials: Know the minimum education requirements for staff delivering the service. Provide information on required training, prior experience or certification requirements. Dates and amount of training will be requested.
- Policies and Procedures: Written information on procedures to monitor adherence to delivery of service and other aspects of quality. Procedures for corrective action when there are significant departures from protocol or where lapses in quality are identified. Staff access to policies and procedures.
- Data Collection: Staff should be present who have practical knowledge of program, including what data is collected.

Service Amount And Risk Level

- Duration of service: The length of time each youth (in the pre-determined cohort) is in the program and number of contact hours receiving the service) for youth will be necessary to determine service amount.
- Risk Level: If available, or not previously provided by juvenile probation, the risk level of each youth in the cohort, retrieved from the results of the Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI).

features of the provider and pro Rated quality of services deliv Low (5 points) Medium (10 points) High (20 points) Amount of Service [Determined from data for the q Duration [Target number of we % of youth who received at 1 0% (0 points) 60% (6 g 20% (2 points) 80% (8 g

 Contact Hours [Target number

 % of youth who received at I

 0% (0 points)
 60% (6 g

 20% (2 points)
 80% (8 g

 40% (4 points)
 99% (10

99% (10

40% (4 points)

Risk Level of Youth Serve [Determined from risk ratings or for the qualifying group of servi % of youth with medium or high risk scores (greater than low): 0% (0 points) 75% (7 point 30% (2 points) 85% (10 point 50% (5 points) 95% (12 point)

Provider's Total SPEP

© Copyright held by Mark W. Lipse Not to be copied or used without

	Program or Service Name							
# in co- ho rt	ldentifier (ex-initials) of each youth in co-hort	Cornitting County	Date the youth started receiving the service	Date the youth stopped receiving the service	Total # of weeks of service received by youth	Total # of hours of service received by youth	YLS (L, M, H, VH)	Reason Youth was discharged early or rationale for Low YLS (ex-sex offender)
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
	The EPISCenter regressers a collaborative partiantify between the Penngybania Commission on Orline and Delinguancy (PCCD), and the Penuention Research Center, College of Health and Harvan Devisiopment, Penn State Unitiently. The EPISCenter is funded by PCCD and the Department of Public Weitres. This resource was devisibled by the EPISCenter through PCCD grant VP-9T-05566.							
	Process, and the Prevention Research Carder, 1 EPISCenter is funded by PCCD and the Depart friguesh PCCD grant VP-97-06569.	nent of Public Welfare. This reco	roe was developed by the S	Pl&Center				
		- Area		_				
	pennsylvania	1.6		A.				
	25 COMMESSION ON CRIME PENNSY	Ivania Beaut New Novelie	Breat Cater EPiSC	enter 🕅				

Resource Development

SPEP Service Type Category Fact Sheet Restorative services: Restitution/Community Service

General Approach: Restorative Services¹

Services of this sort aim to repair the harm done by the juvenile's delinquent behavior by requiring some compensation to victims or reparations via community service. They may also involve some form of direct reconciliation between victims and offenders. Two different intervention types appear in the research, sometimes combined in the same service array: Restitution/community service and mediation.

Service Type: Restitution/Community Service¹

Offenders provide financial compensation to the victims and/or perform community service. Restitution focuses on making the offender accountable to the community through some form of service/payment, e.g., fines or payment/service to the victim; community service.

Example 1 from research study: The service provides the means for juveniles to become accountable for their crimes while compensating victims for their loss. Youthful offenders are held accountable for their conduct by performing a work service for the community in an effort to aid the rehabilitation of the delinquent youth and/or to compensate the victims for losses suffered.

Example 2 from research study: The service is comprised chiefly of community service octivities as restitution. The program works with Habitat for Humanity, Special Olympics, food drives, the Humane Society, tutoring and convalescent homes.

Example 3 from research study: Youths were required to pay monetary restitution to the victims of their crimes or, if there was no outstanding monetary loss, they were required to complete a specified number of community service hours.

Service Category²

Service Group 2 Qualifying supplemental services: None

Targets for Amount of Service² Target weeks=12 Target hours=60

Back to top

SPEP Service Type Category Fact Sheet Restorative services: Mediation

eneral Approach: Restorati

ice	Vices of the	
	Victime and the second services	
	rvices of this sort aim to repair the harm done by the juvenile's delinquent behavior by requiring some comper victims or reparations via community service. They may also involve some form of direct reconciliation betwee tims and offenders. Two different intervention types appear in the research, sometimes combined in the other ay: Restitution/community service and mediation.	
	and offenders. Two differences they may also address the state of the	
	av. Restitution/community and intervention types apage involve some form of div requiring some compared in the source of the	
	service and mediation	sation
	vice Type: Mediation	n
	In the proving of reparations via community service. They may also involve some form of direct reconciliation between tims and offenders. Two different intervention types appear in the research, sometimes combined in the variation ay: Restitution/community service and mediation. rvice Type: Mediation 1 nders apologize to their victims in spoken or written form and may meet with them under supervision. These tween victim and offender. provide the second offender .	service
	ventions typically also include a restitution component. A counselor mediates/arbitrates between parties in co tween victim and offender. ple from research study: Service involved the mediation	
ork	tween stypically also include a restinction or written form and may make	
15	and offender.	
	olo for mediates/arbitrates have been able to a supervision. These	
	pre from research study: Service :	nflict
	The involved the mediation of the	
nt	ince G is a second se	
	ple from research study: Service involved the mediation of victim-youth conflicts via an arbitration meeting.	
rs.	ing supplemented	
15.	representation services: Restitution (comp	
	ing supplemental services: Restitution/community service ts for Amount of Service ²	
	weeks=4	
	ours=8	
	00/208	
	2	

Resource Development-more to come....

- SPEP Guide or Manual for JPO, Providers and Stakeholders
- Benchcards/fact sheets
- Service Index Summaries
- Documents/training videos/Pre-visit Checklists specific to Residential Facilities
- EBP Service Type Fact Sheets
- Probation & Provider Partnership evaluations



Lessons Learned

What we're noticing in the field

- SPEP isn't broad enough to capture every service
- There is a need for D&A SPEPs
- No simple way to do SPEP
- Preliminary anxiety leading up to SPEP-and the feeling of it being a positive experience afterwards
- The message has been consistent among everyone-this is a partnership and Performance Improvement is the main purpose of SPEP

Residential vs. Community Based

- Residential Facilities are pleasantly challengingsome SPEPs are a huge undertaking and it's a matter of breaking the programs down (unpacking) in order to understand the complexities
- Additional assistance is available to help Providers prepare for SPEP-suited to their needs-before/during /after
- Challenges of data collection

A SPEPable service:

 Must be considered a therapeutic service-those oriented mainly toward facilitating constructive internalized and sustained changes in behavior

 Sufficient research evidence of effectiveness





A SCOREable service:



- Must be SPEPable
- The cohort includes 10 or more juveniles
- Quality of service delivery completed within the last year
- Dosage information is available on ALL juveniles in cohort
- Valid risk scores available for at least 80% of the cohort



Emerging Themes



- 28 fully scored services; avg. score was 60, range of 37-100
- Most services scored well on staff training and supervision
- Most services need to improve written protocols and response to drift
- Few services meet dosage and duration standards

* important to consider selection bias of self-selected early adopters

Limitations and Cautions....

- The data may not reflect the true state of services.
 Caution should be used in drawing conclusions given the small amount of data we have.
- SPEP research base only differentiates scores at a cut point of 50. Implications unclear of scores between 50 and 100.
- Pilot phase has shown ongoing need for more tools and training.
- Narrow list of services relative to actual services provided (ie D&A, Case Management)

Early Benefits from the Pilot Phase

- Qualitative interviews lead JPOs better understanding what programs "really" offer (and for whom services are best suited)
- JPOs are now more routinely sending YLS risk score to providers (better service matching and treatment plans)
- Ongoing education of juvenile court system re: relationship of dosage and duration to youth/ service outcomes

Early Benefits from the Pilot Phase (cont.)

- Service providers are more aware of research supporting services
- Providers are proactively planning for SPEP and actively interested in Performance Improvement by utilizing website and asking questions
- Improved relations between probation and providers



Process for Performance Improvement

Process Improvement Plan

• Addresses the areas identified during the SPEP process, as prioritized by stakeholders

IMPLEMENTATION

- Identifies the timeframe and method for improvements in accordance with the stakeholder capacities
- Identifies the needed technical assistance and support which may be necessary to implement improvements.
- Process for monitoring the progress and outcomes of the Program Improvement Plan.

What should be included in the Performance Improvement Plan?

- Basic program information
- Recommendations from SPEP Feedback Report
- Goal Statements
- Action Steps
- Person(s) Responsible
- Target Date of Completion/Date Completed
- Goals Progress Updates
- Metric for Measuring Performance Improvement

Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP) Performance Improvement Plan

Goal Area	Area Staff Training		Train Staff on Y	as	
Action Step		Lead		Target Date	Date Completed
and provide to Resources nee	training for supervisors and youth workers; develop a protocol raining for including YLS results in the ABC case plan. eded: Collaborate with juvenile probation to determine training YLS Master Trainer may be available.	Program Director (Trainer)	and YLS Master	October 30, 2014	
Rat	Rate the action step based on level of implementation		Q2 Meeting 12/31/14	Q3 Meeting 3/31/15	Q4 Meeting 6/30/15
2 = We have : 3 = We are ak 4 = We are ak	not yet begun started to work on this oout halfway complete most finished accomplished this	12345	12345	12345	12345

Goal Area	Area Written Protocol		Update the D Behavioral Contracting Manual			
Action Step		Lead		Target Date	Date Completed	
Strengthen the "D Behavioral Contracting" manual. The manual will be reviewed every 12 months with documentation that the review occurred by including "Last revised on <i>(date)</i> " at the bottom of the page 4 of the manual. Detailed descriptors of the service(s) will be added as will the type of youth for whom the service is targeted (eg: age range, level of risk). Resources needed: requires routine contact with the developer to ensure staff are informed of any curriculum changes or additional training that may be necessary to ensure fidelity.		Training Departme Administration	nt and ABC	3/29/15 and annually thereafter		
Rat	Rate the action step based on level of implementation		Q1 Meeting Q2 Meeting 9/30/14 12/31/14		Q4 Meeting 6/30/15	
2 = We have 3 = We are ak 4 = We are ak	not yet begun started to work on this oout halfway complete most finished accomplished this	12345	12345	12345	12345	

1





Thank You!

- Next webinar: More information will be forthcoming
- Don't forget to fill out the evaluation of this webinar (available in "web links" pod)
- EPISCenter website for additional resources:
 - <u>www.episcenter.psu.edu/juvenile</u>

Contact Info

- Jeff Gregro
 Deputy Chief of Juvenile Probation County of Berks
 jgregro@countyofberks.com
- <u>Igregro@countyoberks.com</u>
- Heather Perry Juvenile Justice System Improvement Specialist <u>hperry@episcenter.org</u>